Action annotation scheme.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Action** | **Description** | **Examples** |
| question | Message asks another participant for information. | *-Would it have been better to follow the Swedish model?*  *-When has a Tsar been elected in Ukraine?* |
| request / proposal | Requests or tells another actor to commit an action, suggests an action indirectly, or commands. Can often target an actor outside the discussion, e.g. the state. | *-Give me an example.*  *-Tip: sometimes you should think first and only then comment.*  *-Go on…*  *-It would be good for Finland to join NATO.*  *-Sanna Marin could buy more masks.* |
| statement | Expression or assertion of information, wish or opinion, either in response to a question or without. Includes negative or neutral evaluations. | *-I have read enough of your comments.*  *-Goths owned it before and the Greeks before that.*  *-Written history in Crimea begins in 800 BC. It was first ruled by the Greeks, then by the Byzantines, the Genoese, the Ottomans and the Tatars. In the history of Crimea, the Russians have ruled the peninsula for 172 years, only approx. 6% of the time.*  *-well, to each his own.* |
| epistemic challenge | Explicitly refutes, denies or questions epistemic claims made by another actor. Often involves (strong) confrontation. Can dispute part of the information presented, the knowledge base of the entire message, or the epistemic competence of another author to make claims. | *-Well no, this is my experience, Moscow’s agents (Moskovan tiltut) can keep their mouth shut...*  *-Fake news somewhat. War support is 70% and resistance is 20% (levada). Has remained unchanged. Of course, they want peace, but also victory and more equal treatment in calls for war, and leadership.*  *-Did you find those readings in Pravda...*  *-Your claims are completely false.* |
| accusation | Explicitly presents a socially reprehensible or immoral act, committed by another actor (in or outside the discussion). Emphasizes the reprehensibility of the act and/or the perpetrator's need to take responsibility for the act. | *-Troll*  *-and why not, being a shitty terrorist country*  *-The Crimean peninsula is part of independent Ukraine, which Russia has illegally occupied. Ukraine will not give it up.*  *-Ukraine has been killing [people] for 8 years.* |
| appreciation | Positive evaluation or encouraging comment about another actor (within or outside the discussion), even or a phenomenon. | *-Pretty apt description. Spot on!*  *-Well that's good.*  *- (thumbs up emoji)*  *-Finally this is happening! That is, vaccinations are given to those who benefit the most from having them, since they could get seriously ill due to age and/or belonging to a risk group, not thinking that everyone should still keep receiving the vaccine repeatedly.* |
| acceptance | Displays agreement or acceptance of a previous message (e.g. a request), or admits a proposition made another actor. Responsive by nature, i.e. connected to another message. | *-We agree on this one. Yes, you are allowed to say it and so it has been pointed out.*  *-Unfortunately yes, this is the case*  *-agree…*  *-Indeed.* |
| denial | Replies directly to another message: Either denies the previous message (the main point or a part of the message), or rejects the accusation or request made by another actor. | *-(previous: "You're lying") → "Well, I'm not lying."*  *-No, Biden hasn't signed the first paper he wrote himself. The papers on which he has put his woodmark are made by others.*  *-It's not my job to prove those claims wrong...* |